



**U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Applicant	Attorney Docket Number
09/039,260	03/16/98	Aberg	4821-306

Examiner
L. E. Crane

Art Unit	Paper No.
1623	18

DATE MAILED: n/a

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel)

- (1) Mr. Max Bachrach (3) --
(2) Examiner L. E. Crane (4) --

Date of Interview: 04/10/01

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy given to) ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: See attachment.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some of all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached

Claim(s) discussed: See page 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: See page 2.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: See page 2.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would be allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 713.04) If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, the completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.
PTOL-413(amended 03/13/01)

09/039,260 - P. N. 18

COPY FOR ☒ File ☐ Applicant Continued on next page(s) -->

Art Unit 1623

INTERVIEW SUMMARY(cont.)

Claims discussed: All remaining of record, claims rejected under 112, second paragraph specifically.

Identification of prior art discussed: Villani et al. '716 (PTO-1449 ref. AC).

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: Applicant was advised that applicant's Notice of Appeal had been entered and that the issues noted in the previous Office action under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph had been obviated by the amendments after final, and therefore that these amendments would be entered. However, applicant was also informed that the amendments did not effectively address the rejections of record, and that the claims were therefore not found allowable in light of the art rejections of record. Applicant was also informed that the arguments made to the effect that Villani et al. '716 did not disclose any pharmaceutical compositions were not found convincing for reasons already of record, and therefore that an Advisory Action to this effect summarizing this conclusion would be forthcoming.